

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6

7 ALTURA ST. MICHAEL EWERS,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
11 FRANCISCO, et al.,

12 Defendants.

Case No. [17-cv-00592-JSW](#)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE  
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR  
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**

13 This case was scheduled for a case management conference on May 19, 2017, at 11:00  
14 a.m. Plaintiff did not appear for that hearing, and the Court continued the matter to June 9, 2017.


15 This is not the first time Plaintiff has failed to appear for a scheduled proceeding. On May  
16 16, 2017, Plaintiff did not appear for a scheduled ADR teleconference. (*See* Dkt. No. 17.)

17 Plaintiff also did not file a case management statement.

18 Plaintiff is **HEREBY ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why the Court should not dismiss  
19 this case for failure to prosecute. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). Plaintiff's response to this Order to  
20 Show Cause shall be due by May 30, 2017. If Plaintiff fails to file a timely response by that date,  
21 the Court shall dismiss this case pursuant to Rule 41(b), and Plaintiff is hereby advised that such a  
22 dismissal will "operate[] as an adjudication on the merits." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: May 19, 2017

25  
26   
27 JEFFREY S. WHITE  
28 United States District Judge